TRANSCRIPT OF THE LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION BUSINESS AND EXECUTIVE OPEN SESSION HELD ON JUNE 22, 2022 IN BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA. PRESENT WERE: CHAIRMAN LAMBERT BOISSIERE, III, COMMISSIONER CRAIG GREENE, COMMISSIONER MIKE FRANCIS AND COMMISSIONER ERIC SKRMETTA.

Exhibit	Docket	Description	Page
1		Announcements	1-17
2	T36219	Louisiana Public Service Commission vs. Fast Affordable College Student Movers, Inc.	17-19
3	T-36270	Louisiana Public Service Commission vs. Ian Nelson dba Ian's Auto Body & Towing	19-25
4	T-36307	Louisiana Public Service Commission vs. Trent Anthony Trucking LLC	25-28
5	S-36348	Cleco Power LLC, ex parte	28-29
6	U-36003	Utilities, Inc. of Louisiana, ex parte	29-30
7	U-36129	Southwest Louisiana Electric Membership Corporation, ex parte	30-36

8	U-36338	Entergy Louisiana, LLC	37
9		1) Reports 2) Resolutions 3) Discussions 4) ERSC/OMS business 5) Directives	37
10	R-35568	Louisiana Public Service Commission, ex parte	35-39
11	Undocketed	Directive to Staff on scheduling future Business and Executive Sessions	39-43

- 1 TRANSCRIPT OF THE LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
- 2 BUSINESS AND EXECUTIVE OPEN SESSION HELD ON JUNE 22, 2022
- 3 IN BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA. PRESENT WERE: CHAIRMAN
- 4 LAMBERT BOISSIERE, III, COMMISSIONER CRAIG GREENE,
- 5 COMMISSIONER MIKE FRANCIS AND COMMISSIONER ERIC
- 6 SKRMETTA.
- 7 **CHAIRMAN LAMBERT BOISSIERE:** Okay. Everybody, let's start the
- 8 meeting. Everybody's ready, all here, take your seats. Well, let's -- if you don't
- 9 mind, let's stand for the prayer by Commissioner Greene.
- 10 [COMMISSIONER CRAIG GREENE LEADS IN PRAYER]
- 11 **COMMISSIONER ERIC SKRMETTA:** Could I also add that we pray for
- 12 Commissioner Campbell and his recovery from his injury and that he recovers to
- join us at the next meeting.
- 14 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Amen to that.
- 15 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Amen.
- 16 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Thank you.
- 17 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** At this time, I'd like to ask Lieutenant Chris Wright
- of Louisiana State Troopers to come forward to lead us in our pledge.
- 19 [LIEUTENANT CHRISTOPHER WRIGHT LEADS IN THE PLEDGE]
- 20 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Do we -- before we get started, do we have any
- visitors in the room, Brandon, Mr. Frey, that you'd like to announce?
- 22 **SECRETARY BRANDON FREY:** I do have one visitor. She's sticking her
- 23 tongue out at me. I figured -- I figured it was time to finally bring my daughter to

- 1 Commission meetings, so Theresa is here, she's 11 and she's going in the 6th grade.
- 2 She's sitting in the front row, so stand up, Theresa, tell everyone hello.
- 3 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** She's got the job.
- 4 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** All right. Any other announcements before we get
- 5 started? Okay. Commissioner Francis.
- 6 **COMMISSIONER MIKE FRANCIS:** It's another good day to serve the public
- 7 of Louisiana and I wanted to recognize, again, Chris Wright with the Louisiana
- 8 State Police. I've just become a friend of his and getting acquainted. We're
- 9 working on a lot of issues and Public Service Commission won -- doing some work
- with the tow truck industry and Chris kind of heads that up for the state of Louisiana
- and the State Police, so thank you for coming, Chris, appreciate that, and the
- gentlemen there with you. So I'm sorry, I missed your name. What's your name?
- 13 **MR. RODNEY OWENS:** Rodney Owens.
- 14 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Say it again.
- 15 **MR. OWENS:** Rodney Owens.
- 16 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Rodney Owens from Leesville, Louisiana,
- wampus cats, so I'm sure we got a few cats here, too. And I've got another one,
- 18 Mr. Chairman. I've just been made aware, we've been working on an
- 19 interconnection facility for the Magnolia generator, which is something new that
- we just voted and approved and good news, we're usually two months behind on a
- 21 lot of things because this is really complicated business. In this case here, it looks
- 22 like we've -- we're like two months ahead on getting this interconnection approved
- and I just want to thank and appreciate, MISO had a part in this, which Janet Boles

- and all the people at Magnolia and Entergy, I want to compliment you three for
- 2 getting something done ahead of time instead of two months late, so thank you very
- 3 much. I'm real proud of us all working together on that.
- 4 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Francis. All right.
- 5 At this time, I'd like to ask Commissioner Greene to make an announcement,
- 6 please.
- 7 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** So I just have two things, the first of which, it's
- 8 been on my mind lately. I want to thank Staff for all their hard work, I know y'all
- 9 are overworked and underpaid relative to what the government can pay y'all to do.
- One of the things that I have in my mind that I want to try to generate is almost like
- a dashboard of dockets to see where we are with those timelines. I know that we
- 12 have some that have been open since '09, I know there have been thousands of
- dockets open since the beginning of the Public Service Commission, but to know,
- 14 you know, there's a formula, distance equals rate times time, and for us to get to
- 15 that distance, sometimes I don't know what the rate is, how fast are we going on,
- in particular the ones I'm thinking of are customer centered options, green tariff,
- energy efficiency, the Atmos Sip, Dolet Hill. These are important ones that I can't
- 18 get my finger on the pulse of where we are, so I'd like an update regularly, whether
- that's a visual dashboard, almost like the when you're driving and you see the alerts
- 20 on your car, so just to know where we are with those would be super helpful. So
- 21 that, and I think -- I spoke with Commissioner Campbell and he's got a letter I'm
- 22 going to ask Staff to read in. Just a reminder to all in the room, us included, when
- 23 we're making statements about this is the stance of the Public Service Commission,

1	if there was a four-to-one vote, point that out because it's important for the people
2	we represent to know where we stand on each issue. Kathryn, can you read that?
3	MS. KATHRYN BOWMAN: Yes, sir. This is a letter that Commissioner
4	Campbell wrote to Mr. Phillip May, president of Entergy Louisiana. It's dated June
5	14, 2022 and it says: Dear, Mr. May. I am writing to you regarding a recent letter
6	that you sent to your customers explaining the basis for increases in their electric
7	bills. One reason given for the increased cost is your recovery of storm restoration
8	costs. Your letter stated that the Louisiana Public Service Commission approved
9	your plan to securitize those costs earlier this year. While the Commission did
10	approve your securitization plan, that is not the whole story. As you'll recall, the
11	vote taken at the February LPSC meeting in Docket U-35991 was a 4-to-1 vote
12	with my objections to the approval stated on the record. While I generally suppor
13	securitization of storm restoration costs as a means to lower costs to ratepayers,
14	voted against your request for two reasons. First, the storm repair was from the
15	hurricanes that occurred primarily in south Louisiana, but Entergy's plan has
16	customers in north Louisiana paying for those repairs even though they were no
17	affected by the storms. My second and stronger objection was that Entergy
18	shareholders are not sharing in the payment of storm costs. I directed Staff to
19	investigate alternative methods to allocate storm restoration costs to differing
20	geographical areas and to investigate whether utility shareholders can be required
21	to share in restoration costs. Your failure to disclose that there was a dissenting
22	vote in your notice to customers has left many of my constituents in north Louisiana
23	confused as many are aware of the concerns I voiced at the February and subsequen

- 1 meetings. As a result, I have been receiving numerous complaints from my
- 2 constituents about the rate increase and my vote. I would like for Entergy to
- disclose the vote in a future notice to its customers in north Louisiana and I would
- 4 like to discuss with you how best to clear up the confusion your recent notice has
- 5 created with my constituents. Please give me a call to discuss at your earliest
- 6 convenience. Thank you for your assistance and cooperation in this matter.
- 7 Sincerely, Foster Campbell.
- 8 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Okay. Do you want to wrap that up or do you want
- 9 me to --
- 10 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** No, I think that --
- 11 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Speaks for itself?
- 12 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** My two things were the sentiments of his letter
- and the dashboard of docket progress.
- 14 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** All right. Well, let me wrap that up to some degree,
- a slightly different viewpoint. Commissioner Campbell can't be with us today, as
- many of you probably know and heard, he suffered an accident while working his
- 17 property, his cattle, and he has some injuries that has prevented him from
- 18 effectively coming in today, so he took a sick day, if you will. But I've spoken to
- 19 him and he's in great spirits and he sounded a little banged up when I talked to him,
- but he didn't mind giving us some homework to do on his behalf, so he gave us a
- 21 lot of work to do, like read this letter and make his announcements for him. And,
- 22 you know, in many ways, I share with Commissioner Greene and Commissioner
- 23 Campbell on, you know, some of the information in the letter. I understand where

1	he's coming from. We make a lot of decisions here and we want to make sure tha
2	the message is clear when it's articulated to the public and that the intent is proper
3	and I think that's what he'd doing with this letter is clearing it up and I support him
4	in that and I think it's very important that he makes it clear to his constituents and
5	the entire state what he stands for and what we stand for here at the Commission
6	But, again, I don't have any update on Foster Campbell. Like I said, he's under the
7	weather, a little banged up. I'm sure, as the information comes out, we'll be more
8	than happy to share it with you, but I think he's just got to heal a little bit and be
9	back I'm sure he'll be back in the swing soon enough, and I'm glad that
10	Commissioner Skrmetta remembered at the appropriate time to give us a prayer or
11	his behalf and I thank him for that. And to let you know that Campbell may not be
12	here, but I bet he's listening and screaming at something in his room about us right
13	now. But in addition to that, I had a second announcement I wanted to make and
14	that is that if anyone noticed, it's pretty hot outside. It's humid and the temperatures
15	are high and as you know, it's important at the Commission because when days like
16	today, people use lots and lots of electricity and it's times like this that we have to
17	cool off and be safe and hydrate, people really crank up their ACs and that's going
18	to drive up power, that's going to drive up electric bills, and it's also not safe to be
19	in this kind of heat, people can suffer from heatstroke and other problems, so I ask
20	everybody to be safe and be careful. For that reason, if you notice, we went with
21	casual a casual meeting today. Somebody asked me, they said, I thought you
22	were going to be casual today and I said, well, this is casual for me, sort of. I have
23	a button on my shirt, on my collar, it's all right. But the fact is that, in al

1	seriousness, the Commission and even the legislature has prepared for days like
2	today. As a matter of fact, and I notified just by verbally, the utility the electric
3	utility companies today, on days where the National Weather Service has defined
4	an extreme weather by heat advisory determined by the National Weather Service
5	those are days that the utility companies cannot disconnect electric power, and
6	that's the gist of what I wanted to share in this forum is that, for not only for the
7	companies here, but for the people and the constituents and the customers out there
8	there will be no disconnections on days with extreme weather and heat advisory, as
9	determined by the National Weather Service and for as long as those weather
10	conditions persist. Now, we only expect them to be a few days, so don't think you
11	get all summer. But it's important to say that to people as the extreme weather
12	happens. It doesn't happen every year and it doesn't happen very often, but when
13	it does, I know it's important to let the people know that we're here working for
14	them. It's really for public safety that this happens, more than anything else. It
15	doesn't mean you get free electricity, it just means that there will be no
16	disconnections during this period of time, and I just wanted to make that clear. Al
17	right. Other than that, I'll move on to the next announcement by Commissioner
18	Skrmetta.
19	COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: Okay. Thank you. And, you know, at last
20	month's meeting, I did not make any comment, we were already two and a half
21	hours into it and I thought it best just to wait until this month. I'm going to take a
22	few minutes to make my comment on the issue, so these are my comments, and so
23	there'll be no confusion about that. During the May Business and Executive

Session of the Louisiana Public Service Commission meeting, the public was
treated to, what I believe, an unnecessary demonstration of leftist rhetoric clearly
designed to ridicule the leadership of an investor owned utility. In what was
promised to the Commissioners in advance to be a polite dialogue started,
continued, and ended in just being a political attack. It was drenched in hyperbole
and vaulted content completely outside the law and the rules that govern how this
Commission functions in regulatory authority over utilities in Louisiana. Now, the
Commission has a duty to the public to ensure that companies under its regulation
are able to access financial capital for the public good and that money can be used
for many things, including new construction, repairs, or restoration of services
following a disaster. And part of ensuring the ability of locating money to be spent
in this state is to demonstrate to investors and the market that Louisiana will support
companies borrowing money and know that this money will be paid back to
investors. It is the basis of capitalism. And for that support but for that, if that
support does not exist, we risk limited interest in investment in companies in
Louisiana, and even if there is investment, it will come at higher interest rates
because of doubt created in the mind of the investment community over reckless
rhetoric from headline seeking that obfuscates standards from the Louisiana Public
Service Commission that establishes to make sure that debts are paid. Now, the
electrical system of the United States is clearly the greatest infrastructure in the
world, but the electrification of the United States came at a struggle, and most of
the United States had no electricity from utilities in the 1920s and systems as we
know today only really took off due to the concept provided Mr. Samuel Insull.

1	compact doctrine. It has served the public well, providing expansive connections
2	to the grid and to the public. And but for rising fuel prices, Louisiana still enjoys
3	some of the lowest rates in the nation. Now, we as Commissioners have a
4	responsibility to maintain a system that allows companies to access capital at
5	reasonable rates that keeps us competitive with all of the states around us in our
6	region. The one thing we cannot do is to achieve that goal by making it arbitrary
7	and capricious statements that may rock the Richter scale of the financial
8	community. Now, baseless statements were made about an investor owned when
9	one of our own unjustifiably suggested that the company that we had already that
10	had already borrowed from the market and spent billions of dollars from investors
11	acted arbitrarily and with no explanation therewith, then sought recovery. The fact
12	is, the company spent exactly what was necessary to fix the electric system for the
13	citizens of Louisiana, and I would happily point out they repaired the system in
14	record time, benefitting our people and our economy. Now, the investor owned
15	utility performed all of its obligations owed under the regulatory compact, in both
16	state and federal U.S. Supreme Court law entitles the company to recover what it
17	spent. Now, I mention the Louisiana Supreme Court, it has ruled on these issues in
18	previous decisions, and significant cases. One from 1987 is Central Louisiana
19	Electric Company versus Louisiana Public Service Commission, and the other,
20	Entergy Gulf States versus the Louisiana Public Service Commission in 1999, and
21	both standing for the rule of law that utilities may recoup their revenue requirement.
22	The common theme is that utility the utility shall be able to recover sufficient
23	revenues to meet its operating expenses, providing shareholders with a reasonable

rate of return and attract new capital. Now, if we look beyond our own state
Supreme Court, we can consider the Supreme Court of the United States and its
positions on these issues, there are two seminal cases to consider. Bluefield Works
and Improvements Company versus Public Service Commission in 1923 and
Federal Power Commission versus Hope Natural Gas Company, 1944. Now, the
Bluefield case stands for the proposition that rates must be sufficient to yield a
reasonable return on the value of property used to provide the utility service. And
Hope Natural Gas establishes that utility rates must be just and reasonable and that
they must be sufficient to assure confidence in and the financial security of the
utility, sufficient to allow the company to maintain its credit and attract capital,
sufficient to allow equity investors and holders a reasonable return on their
investment. Now, what may have started out as a position of animosity of territorial
dispute of participation in the payment of damages to the system, over time, clearly
came into play showing that there are damages to our state system that come from
hurricanes, ice storms, and other elements of force majeure. Now, every member
should know these cases and these histories. And our members also know that our
damages to our state systems really do come from hurricanes, ice storms, and other
force majeure events, not neglect. The solution to maintaining the integrity of the
electric system of this state is not to divide this system into micro participants. That
failure was demonstrated in the Enron debacle in Texas. It's also been
demonstrated to some degree in the unregulated portion of Texas during the
extreme winter storm event, when consumer rates soared as high as \$9,000 a
megawatt hour. Now, properly regulated systems that work together and share the

perform any that suffer division. Now, what was billed to me as a polite discussion to come with these utilities about genuine issues of dispute between the Commission and those we regulate rapidly turned into a farce fueled by partisanship and ego and temper. Now, solutions to these issues thrust upon the table by some of the members were, by definition, arbitrary and capricious in that they offered a decision or action to be taken by our administrative agency that is both willful and unreasonable and without consideration and in disregard of the facts and without a determining principle. Now, sadly, we invited company leaders to participate under a false flag and I seriously doubt we will ever have a genuine opportunity to engage in a contractive open public dialogue again, and if we do, I'm very certain that those we regulate will be extremely hesitant to openly participate based upon the undisciplined actions of a few. Now, those who took an oath know that none of what was offered can survive judicial review to the benefit of the Commission on the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, empty and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it is truly counterproductive. The Supreme Court of the United States has consistently	necessary elements and costs to keep the system up to standard will always of	out
Commission and those we regulate rapidly turned into a farce fueled by partisanship and ego and temper. Now, solutions to these issues thrust upon the table by some of the members were, by definition, arbitrary and capricious in that they offered a decision or action to be taken by our administrative agency that is both willful and unreasonable and without consideration and in disregard of the facts and without a determining principle. Now, sadly, we invited company leaders to participate under a false flag and I seriously doubt we will ever have a genuine opportunity to engage in a contractive open public dialogue again, and if we do, I'm very certain that those we regulate will be extremely hesitant to openly participate based upon the undisciplined actions of a few. Now, those who took an oath know that none of what was offered can survive judicial review to the benefit of the Commission or the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, empty and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge in	perform any that suffer division. Now, what was billed to me as a polite discussi	on
and ego and temper. Now, solutions to these issues thrust upon the table by some of the members were, by definition, arbitrary and capricious in that they offered a decision or action to be taken by our administrative agency that is both willful and unreasonable and without consideration and in disregard of the facts and without a determining principle. Now, sadly, we invited company leaders to participate under a false flag and I seriously doubt we will ever have a genuine opportunity to engage in a contractive open public dialogue again, and if we do, I'm very certain that those we regulate will be extremely hesitant to openly participate based upon the undisciplined actions of a few. Now, those who took an oath know that none of what was offered can survive judicial review to the benefit of the Commission of the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, empty and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge in	to come with these utilities about genuine issues of dispute between t	the
of the members were, by definition, arbitrary and capricious in that they offered a decision or action to be taken by our administrative agency that is both willful and unreasonable and without consideration and in disregard of the facts and without a determining principle. Now, sadly, we invited company leaders to participate under a false flag and I seriously doubt we will ever have a genuine opportunity to engage in a contractive open public dialogue again, and if we do, I'm very certain that those we regulate will be extremely hesitant to openly participate based upon the undisciplined actions of a few. Now, those who took an oath know that none of what was offered can survive judicial review to the benefit of the Commission on the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, empty and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge in	Commission and those we regulate rapidly turned into a farce fueled by partisansh	nip
decision or action to be taken by our administrative agency that is both willful and unreasonable and without consideration and in disregard of the facts and without a determining principle. Now, sadly, we invited company leaders to participate under a false flag and I seriously doubt we will ever have a genuine opportunity to engage in a contractive open public dialogue again, and if we do, I'm very certain that those we regulate will be extremely hesitant to openly participate based upon the undisciplined actions of a few. Now, those who took an oath know that none of what was offered can survive judicial review to the benefit of the Commission of the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, empty and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge in	and ego and temper. Now, solutions to these issues thrust upon the table by sor	me
unreasonable and without consideration and in disregard of the facts and without a determining principle. Now, sadly, we invited company leaders to participate under a false flag and I seriously doubt we will ever have a genuine opportunity to engage in a contractive open public dialogue again, and if we do, I'm very certain that those we regulate will be extremely hesitant to openly participate based upon the undisciplined actions of a few. Now, those who took an oath know that none of what was offered can survive judicial review to the benefit of the Commission on the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, empty and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge in	of the members were, by definition, arbitrary and capricious in that they offered	d a
determining principle. Now, sadly, we invited company leaders to participate under a false flag and I seriously doubt we will ever have a genuine opportunity to engage in a contractive open public dialogue again, and if we do, I'm very certain that those we regulate will be extremely hesitant to openly participate based upon the undisciplined actions of a few. Now, those who took an oath know that none of what was offered can survive judicial review to the benefit of the Commission on the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, empty and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it	decision or action to be taken by our administrative agency that is both willful a	nd
a false flag and I seriously doubt we will ever have a genuine opportunity to engage in a contractive open public dialogue again, and if we do, I'm very certain that those we regulate will be extremely hesitant to openly participate based upon the undisciplined actions of a few. Now, those who took an oath know that none of what was offered can survive judicial review to the benefit of the Commission of the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, empty and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it	unreasonable and without consideration and in disregard of the facts and without	ıt a
in a contractive open public dialogue again, and if we do, I'm very certain that those we regulate will be extremely hesitant to openly participate based upon the undisciplined actions of a few. Now, those who took an oath know that none of what was offered can survive judicial review to the benefit of the Commission of the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, empty and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge in	determining principle. Now, sadly, we invited company leaders to participate und	der
we regulate will be extremely hesitant to openly participate based upon the undisciplined actions of a few. Now, those who took an oath know that none of what was offered can survive judicial review to the benefit of the Commission on the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, empty and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it	a false flag and I seriously doubt we will ever have a genuine opportunity to engage	ıge
undisciplined actions of a few. Now, those who took an oath know that none of what was offered can survive judicial review to the benefit of the Commission of the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, empty and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it	in a contractive open public dialogue again, and if we do, I'm very certain that the	se
what was offered can survive judicial review to the benefit of the Commission of the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, empty and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it	we regulate will be extremely hesitant to openly participate based upon t	the
the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, empty and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it	undisciplined actions of a few. Now, those who took an oath know that none	of
and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser in such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it	what was offered can survive judicial review to the benefit of the Commission	or
such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's not a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it	the people we serve. Now, reckless threats of bringing a court challenge, emp	oty
a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepayers. I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it	and nothing more than wasteful and cavalier. And I'll remind you that the loser	· in
I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there's a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it	such a litigation must pay the costs and all the costs of the attempt. Now, it's n	not
a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, then they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it	a senseless if that's not senseless and unfair burden to heap upon the ratepaye	rs,
they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it	I just really don't know what would rise to a more thoughtless act. Now, if there	e's
expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge it	a member who seeks to challenge well established U.S. Supreme Court law, the	ıen
	they should feel free to embark on that endeavor at their own and at their own	wn
is truly counterproductive. The Supreme Court of the United States has consistently	expense. Now, our system has operated efficiently for decades and to challenge	e it
	is truly counterproductive. The Supreme Court of the United States has consisten	tly

1 acted to provide balance in these issues, and we must also consider what type of 2 result comes from this type of rhetoric. Now, imagine a utility that is damaged 3 from some action and knowing that it cannot recover the costs of repair from the 4 Commission, it would face a decision. Would it make the repair? Could it find the 5 money form the market to make such a repair with the market knowing it may never 6 get its money back? Does it effectively cause the system to crumble? It doesn't 7 take an actual playing with fire to know the result of what happens when you put 8 your hand in that open flame. Now, it's one thing for the members to do such things 9 just for themselves, but they have an obligation to the public. And to balance the 10 interests between the companies that we regulate and the public who utilize the 11 services. We allow fair rates of returns and we ensure that the public is protected 12 from unscrupulous practices. We are elected to balance interests, we are elected to 13 allow fair rates of return, we are elected to protect the public from unscrupulous 14 practices, we are elected to study facts, know when to apply rules, think and act in 15 good faith, and I will do so and I hope my fellow members will follow suit. Thank 16 you very much.

17 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Commissioner Greene.

18 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Very thoughtful comments, Commissioner

Skrmetta. I would like to point out pursuant to Commissioner Foster's letter as

well, and this may be the case for me at some point in the future as well, but those

thoughtful words are opinion of Commissioner Skrmetta and not necessarily the

22 Commission.

19

20

21

23

COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA: [INAUDIBLE]

1 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** I do think -- yeah -- that the importance for, in my

2 mind, for us to do here is keep the customer in mind and have vigorous and open

3 conversations about best way forward, so. I want to thank all involved in those

4 conversations, but it's okay that those can be fierce at times because we know we're

5 all pointing in the same direction. Thank you.

6 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Thank you, Commissioner Greene. I want to make

7 a comment as well. Commissioner Skrmetta, I really wish you had said a lot of that

8 last month.

9 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Well, two and a half hours into it, I thought it

10 was too much --

14

15

11 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** But it was the appropriate time and place. And now

12 that, you know, some of the parties are no longer here and -- I mean, there's

13 representatives from Entergy here and Commissioner Campbell, of course, is

probably one of the people you were speaking of, mostly, he's not here. We'll get

a chance to answer. Even though we took a lot of time, it would have taken more

16 time. It extended our -- it would have extended our meeting last month. I think

this is going to extend it even more because now, of course, whenever Campbell

come back, I'm sure he's going to want to answer you. So that will be another 30

19 minutes or whatever, so. Anyway, my point is clear, but I want -- I -- first of all,

20 let me say something. I appreciate the message. I, you know, it took a while. My

21 next message was going to be we're going to run a really quick and short meeting

22 today. I think we've already begun to slow it down a little bit. But one thing I want

23 to say is that I think -- honestly, I want to thank Commissioner Skrmetta for at least

1	speaking up. I do appreciate his comments, and everyone here has a opinion the
2	right to say what they want to say to represent their districts, to make their own
3	comments and statements. I thought it was a bit wordy and long, but and it was
4	a great history lesson in many parts. But I do take exception to some of it, which
5	had more opinion than history involved, in fact. And to be perfectly clear, some or
6	the things that you mentioned were parts where you mentioned that it was just
7	merely political theater merely political sorry merely political theater or
8	grandstanding in some degrees. And I paraphrase you for that, if you don't mind
9	So I disagree with those parts of it, and I beg to differ. We, at the Commission -
10	the Commissioners here, we work for the people and the businesses of our state
11	We worked very hard to run a very productive meeting last month, one, I think that
12	had order instead of shouting, one that had reasonable questions under the rare
13	opportunity to have the CEO of Entergy, Mr. Leo Denault sit here. Unfortunately
14	he's not here to rebut anything that gets said today as we are speaking about it again
15	He's probably going to make the news again. But while he was here
16	Commissioners shared constituent concerns, constituent concerns, questions that
17	we received in our district offices. We all had the opportunity to speak, including
18	Commissioner Skrmetta last month, who chose not to, of course, for his own
19	reasons as he expressed. But we all had the opportunity, and I encouraged every
20	Commissioner to take the rare opportunity to speak to Mr. Denault who is CEO or
21	the largest electric company in our state. It is a rare opportunity because it's beer
22	over, probably, 15 years since the last CEO of Entergy was here before us to answer
23	questions, to hear constituent concerns, all the while making billions of dollars in

1	profits. So reasonable returns, they get it and we pay it. All of the money that was
2	discussed here so far is paid for by ratepayers, so we have the right to ask questions.
3	We don't know who likes them and who doesn't like them, but I consider them
4	reasonable and fair and I thought we conducted a very orderly meeting. And I think
5	those questions, whether they seem heated or not, comes from frustrations.
6	Frustrations because it's been a long time since we had a CEO of Entergy before
7	us. So we have a lot of things we wanted to ask. We also had frustrations, and I
8	hope it didn't seem like political theater at the time, as expressed, but we get calls
9	on rising energy costs every day in our offices. We have the right to ask questions.
10	We get questions about rising natural gas prices every day. And just recently, we
11	were putting on the bills, and what really was driving this, one of the largest
12	amounts of storm recovery and repair damage that the public is going to have to
13	pay. I think, nonetheless, the Commissioners nonetheless, had the duty to ask
14	certain questions and Entergy has a right to ask I mean to answer. And I think
15	that's what we ran last time. It was a fair round of questions, and we gave Entergy,
16	Mr. Denault, ample time and space to answer his questions and make any
17	statements that he wanted. I thought it was a very good run meeting. So I only take
18	exceptions to the part that it was just your opinion that it wasn't, that it was just
19	political because I didn't see it that way. In addition to that, folks who didn't want
20	to raise very heated questions towards Mr. Denault, which I respect, asked policy
21	questions like myself. I specifically asked things about renewable energy and the
22	growth of solar. I didn't think that was political theater. That's something we need
23	and I was glad to ask that because I got commitments from Entergy right sitting

1	here in this chair on the record that they are building as much as they can, things
2	that I and my constituents have been asking for for years, which is more solar and
3	more renewable costs and the diversification of the fuel mix. I had very right to
4	ask that and every right for Mr. Denault to answer. And as well, any other opinions
5	that wanted to rise up and ask, I was welcome to take any questions or to talk about
6	it. And other Commissioners asked questions of reliability. And I'm not going to
7	relive the meeting, but I thought overall, let's not forget the business that we did
8	for those two hours. Let us not forget the people we spoke for during that time is
9	our constituents. And that's what I saw, but there's five of us, so we may see things
10	slightly differently from time to time. But I respect your opinion. I just think that
11	I don't want to get lost the business and the work that we did here last month and
12	be packaged as something less or different than it really was. Thank you. Any
13	more announcements? [NONE HEARD] All right. Let's get started.
14	MS. BOWMAN: Moving on to Exhibit Number 2, which is Docket Number T-
15	36219. It's the LPSC versus Fast Affordable College Student Movers regarding an
16	alleged violation of General Order dated July 12, 2013 for failure to provide a
17	written estimate prior to conducting moving services and General Order dated July
18	1, 2021 as amended by exceeding rates as outlined in the company's tariff dated
19	June 27, 2013, while operating under Common Carrier Certificate Number 7823
20	allegedly having occurred on or about October 18, 2021 through October 20, 2021
21	It's a discussion and possible vote pursuant to Rule 57 on an affidavit and
22	stipulation executed by the carrier. So this will need two votes. In response to a
23	consumer complaint submitted to the Commission, Staff opened an investigation

- 1 regarding moving services performed by Fast Affordable on or about October 18th
- 2 through October 20, 2021. As a result of the investigation, Staff issued a citation
- 3 to Fast Affordable alleging that the carrier violated General Order dated July 12,
- 4 2013 and General Order dated July 1, 2021 while operating under Common Carrier
- 5 Certificate Number 7823. In response to the citation, the president of Fast
- 6 Affordable signed an affidavit and stipulation admitting and agreeing to plead
- 7 guilty to all violations within the citation. In the affidavit and stipulation, the
- 8 company also agreed to the imposition of a \$7,000 fine with \$3,500 of the fine
- 9 suspended based on certain conditions contained in the stipulation summarized as:
- 10 Fast and Affordable not be found guilty, entering a plea of guilty, or entering a plea
- of no contest to any of the rules and regulations of the Commission relative to
- household good movers for a period of one year, payment of the fine and a \$25
- 13 citation fee by June 1st and agreed to refunds of the excess charges to the
- complainant before May 26, 2022. And the carrier agreed to all of that. Therefore,
- 15 Staff recommends that: 1) The Commission exercise its original and primary
- jurisdiction under Rule 57 to consider the affidavit and stipulation; and 2) Accept
- the affidavit and stipulation executed on May 27, 2022 for fines and fees totaling
- 18 \$3,025.
- 19 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** [INAUDIBLE].
- 20 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Second.
- 21 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Moved by Commissioner Francis, second by
- 22 Commissioner Skrmetta to take the issue under Rule 57. Do we have a motion?
- 23 Commissioner Francis --

- 1 **MS. BOWMAN:** To clarify, I think it was Commissioner Greene.
- 2 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Oh, did he -- oh, I'm sorry. I apologize. Stand
- 3 corrected. Seconded by Commissioner Greene. Thank you.
- 4 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Move to accept Staff recommendation.
- 5 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Moved by Commissioner Francis to accept Staff
- 6 recommendation.
- 7 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Second.
- 8 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Second by Commissioner Greene. Thank you.
- 9 MS. BOWMAN: Exhibit Number 3 is Docket Number is T-36270. It's the
- 10 Commission versus Ian Nelson dba Ian's Auto Body and Towing regarding an
- alleged violation of Louisiana Revised Statutes for Title 45:1177 for failure to pay
- the state a fee for the years 2015, '16, '17, '18, '19, and '20 for the inspection,
- control, and supervision of its business services and rates authorized in Common
- 14 Carrier Certificate Number 7783. It's a discussion and possible vote on ALJ
- 15 recommendation. Based on Staff investigation, the Commission Staff requested
- that the carrier be ordered to amend its inspection and supervision fee forms for the
- 17 years, 2015, '17, '18, '19, and '20; that the carrier be ordered to submit additional,
- 18 appropriate payments to the Louisiana Department of Revenue within 60 days of
- 19 the issuance of the order in this proceeding; and that the carrier submit proof of said
- 20 payments to the Commission within 10 days of making those payments. Lastly,
- 21 the Commission Staff requested that the carrier be assessed a \$500 fine pursuant to
- 22 the Commission's General Order dated November 22, 2011, and that the carrier be
- assessed a \$25 citation fee pursuant to the Commission's General Order dated June

1	7, 2006. In support of its case, the Commission Staff presented the testimonies of
2	Transportation Administrator Tammy Burl and Audit Director Robin Pendergrass
3	According to Ms. Pendergrass' testimony, the Commission's Auditing Division
4	conducted an audit of the carrier between 2016 and 2022, and that audit revealed
5	that for the years, '15, '17, '18, '19, and '20, the carrier's total regulated revenues
6	as reported in its inspection and supervision fee filings with the Department of
7	Revenue did not match its total regulated revenues as reported in its annual reports
8	Ms. Pendergrass prepared an audit memorandum for Ms. Burl, and Ms. Burl
9	confirmed that the carrier's reported revenues were not consistent for the
10	aforementioned years. A hearing was conducted on May 5, 2022, where the carried
11	failed to appear at the hearing and present a defense. The administrative law judge
12	concluded that the carrier reported revenues for the years '15, '17, '18, '19, and '20
13	did not match the revenues reported to the Louisiana Department of Revenue
14	Louisiana Revised Statute 45:1177 requires that each Commission-regulated motor
15	carrier pay to the state, through the Louisiana Department of Revenue, a fee for the
16	inspection, control, and supervision of the carrier's business services and rates. Per
17	Revised Statute 45:1179, this fee is calculated using the revenues reported in the
18	carrier's annual report. However, in computing its inspection and supervision fees
19	it appears that the carrier used the revenues reported to the Department of Revenue
20	As there is a discrepancy between the amount reported to the Department of
21	Revenue and the amount reported to the annual reports, the carrier did not pay a
22	properly calculated fee to the state for the years '15, '17, '18, '19, and '20
23	Accordingly, the administrative law judge concluded that the carrier is guilty of

- 1 violating Louisiana Revised Statute 45:1177. Based on the evidence and testimony
- 2 presented at the hearing, the administrative law judge recommends that: 1) Ian
- 3 Nelson DBA Ian's Auto Body and Towing be found guilty of violating Louisiana
- 4 Revised Statute 45:1177 by failing to pay the properly calculated inspection and
- 5 supervision fees to the state for the years '15, '17, '18, '19, and '20; 2) That Ian
- 6 Nelson DBA Ian's Auto Body and Towing be required to ascertain the correct gross
- 7 revenues for the years '15, '17, '18, '19, and '20, report the correct gross receipts
- 8 for the years '15, '17, '18, '19, and '20 and amend any associated filings within 60
- 9 days of the date of the issuance of this order; that Ian Nelson DBA Ian's Auto Body
- and Towing be required to provide proof to the Commission that it reported the
- 11 correct gross receipts and amended any associated filings within 10 days of such
- corrections; that a fine of \$500 be imposed upon Ian Nelson DBA Ian's Auto Body
- and Towing for failure to pay the appropriate inspection and supervision fees for
- the years '15, '17, '18, '19, and '20, and that this amount must be paid to the
- 15 Commission, in certified funds, within 60 days of the date of issuance of this order;
- and 5) That the citation fee of \$25 be imposed upon Ian Nelson DBA Ian's Auto
- 17 Body and Towing, and that this amount must be paid to the Commission, in
- certified funds, within 60 days of the date of issuance of this order.
- 19 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** We have a -- well, first of all, let me let --
- 20 Commissioner Francis has a statement to make.
- 21 **MS. BOWMAN:** Commissioner, his mic, please.
- 22 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Oh, I'm sorry. I thought it was on. Go ahead, Mike.

- 1 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** A while ago I failed to recognize Transportation
- 2 Administration lady, Tammy Burl for her work. Tammy, thank you for what you're
- doing. Just -- and again, thank the state police for coming today. And just to let all
- 4 of the carriers know that we're going to be working hard to make sure that we take
- 5 care of their business and take care of the public. Thank you.
- 6 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Commissioner Greene.
- 7 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Yeah. Can you explain the source of discrepancy
- 8 between the reported annual reports and reported Department of Revenue?
- 9 MS. TAMMY BURL: Yes. Good morning, Commissioner. Tammy Burl on
- behalf of Commission Staff. The carriers file what we call an annual report every
- 11 year, which breaks out their regulated revenue, and what we regulate only is the
- 12 nonconsensual towing. And so that annual report is the sworn statement that is
- used to ensure that the carriers are paying the proper inspection and supervision
- 14 fees. So basically, when the auditor is doing their audits, they're looking at the
- annual report that's filed compared to what was reported to Revenue to make sure
- that what they're reporting to Revenue is the proper amount.
- 17 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** And so the fact that they're different tells us
- 18 what?
- 19 **MS. BURL:** That --
- 20 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Are they lying to us about how much they report?
- 21 Are they lying to the Department of Revenue?
- 22 **MS. BURL:** I don't know if they're lying or if they have -- their books are not
- being kept properly. Sometimes we will find that their books are not as accurate

- 1 until the end of the year. And then at the end of the year, when they file their annual
- 2 report, that's when we're able to see what their final numbers are. They pay their
- 3 inspection and supervision fees quarterly, so at the end of the year, it's kind of a
- 4 checks and balance.
- 5 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** It just seems like they can play hide and seek with
- 6 their accountant, and then only pay a \$3,000 fine, but it's hard to determine which
- 7 one -- which number is accurate.
- 8 **MS. BURL:** We have a way of determining which number is accurate. So what
- 9 we do during the course of an audit is we asked for their books so we can see what
- was nonconsensual, regulated tows, and that backup proof is actual tow invoices.
- 11 So we will obtain those tow invoices when we need to. Unfortunately, in this case,
- 12 the carrier did not respond to our request, and therefore, the only thing we had to
- go on was their annual report for those years.
- 14 **SECRETARY FREY:** And Commissioner, just to add to that, we work closely
- with Department of Revenue and under the statute, that is to be, to Tammy's point,
- the basis for I&S is the annual reports filed with the Commission, so those should
- 17 trump whatever's filed with Department of Revenue. But this is a -- rarely, do they
- escalate to this level. I mean, we have this happen fairly regular with both motor
- 19 carriers and regulated utilities, either through audits we're conducting through
- 20 Robin's shop or Department of Revenue or two of us working together. We work
- 21 out most of them. This one just never got worked out.
- 22 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** If you want me to follow-up -- are you going to
- follow-up on that? Tammy, do you believe we got to the right answer eventually?

- 1 That we overcame any discrepancies and we found the right answers to do our jobs
- 2 on this one?
- 3 MS. BURL: Yes, Commissioner. Based on the information that we had in front
- 4 of us, I feel like this is the right answer.
- 5 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Okay. And Mr. Secretary, the same? You good?
- 6 **SECRETARY FREY:** I agree, yes, sir.
- 7 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** All right. Good enough. That's good. Good, good.
- 8 So we got the work done.
- 9 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** I got a question, Tammy.
- 10 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Commissioner Francis.
- 11 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Tammy, do they have to turn in their tax returns
- 12 as proof?
- 13 **MS. BURL:** They have to turn in their annual report. It's not their actual tax return
- that they file with the IRS. It is a recap annual report, which is a sworn statement
- 15 to what their revenues were in addition to other information. This is kind of our
- 16 checks and balance, like I said, at the end of the year. So during the course of an
- audit, whenever Ms. Pendergrass' staff is doing an audit, we request documents
- such as their invoices and we even request their tax returns so we can balance those
- out to see where the discrepancy was and what should have been paid. So the only
- 20 thing we have to go on in this case is the annual report since they didn't respond
- 21 with the proper information.
- 22 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Thank you.

- 1 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** All done, sir? And where are we with this one? Do
- 2 we need a -- is this a voting issue?
- 3 **MS. BOWMAN:** Yes. We need a motion and a second.
- 4 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** I motion to take the item up under Rule 57.
- 5 **MS. BOWMAN:** It's just an ALJ rec.
- 6 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Oh, okay. Motion to accept ALJ
- 7 recommendation.
- 8 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Thank you.
- 9 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Second.
- 10 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Moved by Commissioner Greene, second by
- 11 Commissioner Francis to accept Staff recommendation. Any opposition? [NONE
- 12 HEARD] Hearing none, so ordered. Next item, please.
- 13 MS. BOWMAN: Exhibit Number 4 is Docket Number T-36307. It's the
- 14 Commission versus Trent Anthony Trucking, LLC. It's alleged violation of
- Revised Statute 45:161 through 180.1 by operating intrastate without LPSC
- authority to transport waste intrastate on one count occurring December 13, 2021.
- 17 It's a discussion and possible vote pursuant to Rule 57 on an affidavit and
- 18 stipulation executed by the carrier. So this will need two votes. It's a proposed
- 19 settlement between Trent Anthony Trucking and the Commission Staff for the
- 20 carrier's violation of the Commission rules and regulations relative to transporting
- 21 regulated waste intrastate without authority. As a result of a manifest audit, Staff
- 22 issued a citation to Trent Anthony Trucking on March 30, 2022 alleging that the
- 23 carrier violated the revised statutes by operating intrastate without Commission

- 1 authority to transport waste on one count occurring December 13, 2021. In 2 response to the citation in the violation, the owner of Trent Anthony Trucking 3 signed an affidavit and stipulation admitting to the violation, and in the affidavit 4 and stipulation, the carrier agreed to the imposition of a \$2,000 fine with \$1,500 5 suspended subject to conditions contained in the stipulation, summarized as 6 follows: That the carrier not be found guilty, enter a plea of guilty, or enter a plea 7 of no contest of the rules and regulations of the Commission for a period of one year; that the carrier agree to cease transporting LPSC regulated waste for disposal 9 intrastate until such time that the company obtains a common carrier certificate 10 from the Commission; and that he remits a \$500 fine plus \$25 citation fee in 11 certified funds and submit the executed affidavit and stipulation with payment in 12 the amount of \$525 by May 20, 2022. Additionally, the carrier and Staff agreed 13 that the affidavit and stipulation is to be a global settlement of any and all 14 violations of transporting non-hazardous oilfield waste without a certificate 15 occurring before April 8, 2022. Therefore, Staff recommends that the 16 Commission: 1) Exercise its original and primary jurisdiction under Rule 57 to 17 consider the affidavit and stipulation; and 2) Accept the affidavit and stipulation 18 executed on May 16, 2022 for fines and fees totaling \$525.
- 19 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** I move to -- move Exhibit 4 under Rule 57.
- 20 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Second.
- 21 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Moved by Commissioner Francis, second by
- 22 Commissioner Greene to take the rule under -- take the item under Rule 57. Any
- 23 opposition? [NONE HEARD] Hearing none. Do I get a motion?

- 1 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Move to accept the Staff recommendation.
- 2 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Second.
- 3 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Moved by Commissioner Francis, second by
- 4 Commissioner Greene to accept Staff recommendation.
- 5 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** I'd like to ask Tammy -- Ms. Burl, why do we
- 6 suspend \$1,500 of the fine?
- 7 **MS. BURL:** Commissioner, each of the -- each of these waste violations that we
- 8 find in the field through an audit with Office of Conservation, they each have their
- 9 own unique circumstances of how that job was performed. And so when a carrier
- provides us with individual information, it determines whether we would suspend
- more or less, depending on those particular circumstances. And every case is
- different on how that job was gained and done without authority.
- 13 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** So just your judgement on past situations like
- 14 this?
- 15 **MS. BURL:** Yes, sir. We ask them to provide us with certain documentation, such
- as invoices where they billed. If it was an owner/operator, did they have to pay
- owner/operator, so there's different questions that we ask and depending on the
- information we get back, determines how much of the fine to suspend.
- 19 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** What city was this Trent Anthony domiciled out
- 20 of in Louisiana?
- 21 **MS. BURL:** I believe he is in the northern part of Louisiana, but I am not a hundred
- percent positive. I don't have it on me at the time.
- 23 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Okay. That's good. Okay. Thank you.

- 1 **SECRETARY FREY:** And Mr. Chairman, I want to circle back to Commissioner
- 2 Greene's questions on three. I was going to say this but I wanted to make sure I
- 3 was accurate.
- 4 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Okay.
- 5 **SECRETARY FREY:** Robin confirmed it. In addition to the fine they'll pay us,
- 6 when they ultimately get straight, they're going to also owe penalties and interest
- 7 to Department of Revenue. So it's not just going to be our fine.
- 8 MS. BOWMAN: So Exhibit Number 5 is Docket Number S-36348. It's Cleco
- 9 Power's application for renewal of Cleco Power's blanket financing authorization
- approved by the Commission in Order Number S-34866. It's a discussion and
- possible vote to retain J. Kennedy and Associates. Cleco Power filed an application
- seeking to renew its existing blanket financing authorization, initially approved by
- the Commission in Order Number U-28765-A, and renewed in subsequent orders.
- 14 Cleco is requesting that the authorization be renewed for an additional four-year
- term, effective January of 2023 through December of 2026. J. Kennedy was
- retained to assist the Commission in the last two requests for authorization of
- 17 Cleco's blanket financing, and based upon this assistance, Staff anticipates that the
- budget necessary to satisfactorily perform the review herein, including any future
- debt issuances, would be less than \$50,000. Therefore, Staff solicited J. Kennedy
- 20 for an under \$50,000 proposal to assist in Cleco's review -- excuse me -- to review
- 21 of Cleco's request, as well as any debt issuances throughout the additional four-
- 22 year term. Staff is of the opinion that no formal RFP is required given that the
- 23 solicitation was less than \$50,000. In response to Staff's solicitation, J. Kennedy

- 1 proposes to complete all work required for 48 -- 45,800 in fees and 700 in expenses
- 2 for a total budget of \$46,500. Staff recommends that the Commission retain J.
- 3 Kennedy and Associates for 45,800 in fees and 700 in expenses for a total budget
- 4 not to exceed of 46,500.
- 5 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Gentlemen? I move that -- go ahead.
- 6 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** I motion to accept Staff recommendation.
- 7 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Chair seconds it. Moved by Commissioner Greene,
- 8 second by the chair. Well, to accept Staff recommendation. Okay. Good enough.
- 9 **MS. BOWMAN:** Exhibit Number 6 is Docket Number U-36003. It's Utilities,
- 10 Inc. of Louisiana's request for extension of formula rate plan with modifications
- thereto. It's a discussion and possible vote on an uncontested stipulated settlement.
- On May 25, 2021, UIL filed its request for extension of formula rate plan with
- 13 modifications seeking authority from the Commission to extend and modify its
- 14 formula rate plan. UIL sought to renew its FRP as authorized by Commission Order
- Number U-34742 with a modified return on equity bandwidth. UIL also requested
- that the extraordinary cost changes section be modified to strike certain provisions
- and to reduce the dollar threshold for extraordinary cost changes. Notice of the
- 18 application was published in the Commission's Official Bulletin with no
- 19 interventions filed. Following discovery on UIL's request, UIL and Commission
- 20 Staff reached an agreement and executed an uncontested stipulated settlement
- 21 which was filed into the record on May 23, 2022. As there were no disputed issues,
- 22 ALJ Guillot issued a report of proceedings and submission of stipulation for
- 23 consideration by Commissioners on June 8, 2022. The major terms of the

1 settlement are as follows: The FRP will have a three year term beginning with test 2 year 2021, with rates being reset in December of '22, November of '23, and 3 November of '24. The ROE for each test year shall be determined as the net income 4 for the test year divided by the equity portion of rate base for the test year and the 5 ROE rate bandwidth shall be the range of values with a lower limit equaled to nine 6 percent and an upper limit equal to 10 percent and the combined allocations for 7 water service corporate and corrects an infrastructure corporate service cost and 8 amortizations are capped at \$2,379,711 in year one; 2.5 million in year two; and 9 2.6 million in year three. As there are no unresolved issues, Staff recommends that 10 the Commission accept the uncontested stipulated settlement filed into the record 11 on May 23, 2022. 12 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Move to accept Staff recommendation for the 13 uncontested stipulated settlement. 14 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Moved by Commissioner Skrmetta, second by 15 Commissioner Greene to accept Staff recommendation. Any opposition? [NONE 16 HEARD] Hearing none, so ordered. Next item, please. 17 MS. BOWMAN: Exhibit Number 7 is Docket Number U-36129. This is 18 Southwest Louisiana Electric Membership Corporation, supplemental and restated 19 application for recovery in rates of storm damage costs incurred as a result of 20 Hurricanes Laura and Delta and for recovery of costs incurred in preparation for 21 Hurricane Ida. It's a discussion and possible rehearing on acceptance of the 22 uncontested stipulated settlement pursuant to Rule 43 at the request of Vice 23 Chairman Francis, so this will need two votes. On August 10, 2021, SLEMCO

1	filed its application for recovery in rates of storm damage costs and notice of the
2	initial application was published in the Commission's Official Bulletin with no
3	interventions. On November 5, 2021, SLEMCO filed its Supplemental and
4	Restated Application for Recovery in Rates of the same storm costs. The
5	supplemental application was also published in the Commission's Official Bulletin
6	with no interventions filed. SLEMCO and Staff reached an agreement and executed
7	an uncontested stipulated settlement, which was filed into the record on April 19
8	2022. The settlement authorized SLEMCO to implement a line item charge for 24
9	months to recover approximately \$8,668,737 in unreimbursed expense and carrying
10	costs associated with the cooperative's preparation, response, and recovery efforts
11	The line item charge would result in an increase of \$2.49 for an average customer
12	At the Commission's May 25, 2022 B&E, the Commission voted to accept that
13	settlement. On May 26, 2022, SLEMCO was notified that FEMA was now
14	covering 90 percent of the damages for all the disasters, as opposed to the 75 percen
15	that SLEMCO previously assumed in its application. As a result, the recovery that
16	SLEMCO is seeking in this docket is reduced from the \$8,668,737 to \$6,046,994
17	which would result in an increase of only \$1.73 for an average customer. On June
18	7, 2022, SLEMCO filed a request into the record asking that this matter be reheard
19	at the Commission's June 22, 2022 meeting, that the amount authorized for
20	recovery in unreimbursed expenses and carrying costs be decreased as stated. Staff
21	recommends that the Commission rehear the acceptance of the uncontested
22	stipulated settlement previously accepted in this matter pursuant to Rule 43, and
23	approve the uncontested stipulated settlement with the following amendment: That

- 1 the amount authorized for recovery in unreimbursed expenses and carrying costs
- 2 associated with the cooperative's preparation, response, and recovery efforts be
- amended to \$6,046,994, and that all other terms and conditions in the settlement
- 4 remain in effect. And I do have a motion by Vice Chairman Francis.
- 5 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Move --
- 6 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** You mind reading it for me.
- 7 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Move to bring the matter up under Rule 43
- 8 for rehearing.
- 9 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Second.
- 10 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Moved by Commissioner Skrmetta, second by
- 11 Commissioner Greene to bring the matter up under Rule 43. Okay.
- 12 MS. BOWMAN: Vice Chairman Francis' motion is: I move that we accept the
- uncontested stipulated settlement with the same modifications accepted at the May
- 14 B&E, except that the amount authorized for recovery in unreimbursed expenses and
- 15 carrying costs for SLEMCO's preparation, response and recovery efforts be
- 16 amended to \$6,046,994.
- 17 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Motion to accept Staff's recommendation.
- 18 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Well, before we go forward, okay. We have a motion
- 19 by Commissioner Greene but -- I mean, second by Commissioner Greene but I want
- 20 to give the mic to -- the floor to Commissioner Francis.
- 21 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** I'd like to call up the SLEMCO executives here.
- 22 I think I saw Tamporello here or some of this crew to come up and give you a
- chance to blow your horn for a little savings here we're all proud of. I'm a -- I live

- 1 in that district that they serve, great part of southwest Louisiana and I'm actually a
- 2 SLEMCO customer.
- 3 **MS. BOWMAN:** And just, for the record, please state your names and sign the
- 4 yellow card.
- 5 **MR. GLENN TAMPORELLO:** Ladies first.
- 6 MS. KATHERINE DOMINGUE: Katherine Domingue. I'm the CFO --
- 7 **MS. BOWMAN:** Katherine, press the button for the green light.
- 8 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Wait, wait, wait.
- 9 MS. DOMINGUE: Yes. Sorry. Katherine Domingue, the CFO and assistant
- 10 manager of SLEMCO.
- 11 **MR. CHRIS PIASECKI:** Chris Piasecki on general counsel for SLEMCO.
- 12 **MR. TAMPORELLO:** Glenn Tamporello, CEO of SLEMCO. Good morning.
- 13 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Good morning. Mr. Tamporello, how many
- customers -- how many meters do you have at SLEMCO that you serve?
- 15 **MR. TAMPORELLO:** Approximately 113,000.
- 16 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** You're one of ten co-ops in Louisiana; is that
- 17 right?
- 18 **MR. TAMPORELLO:** Yes, sir.
- 19 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** And what's your size compared to the other co-
- 20 ops? Are you the largest?
- 21 MR. TAMPORELLO: We have the largest demand, which, you know, and
- customers, probably the largest by a few hundred and maybe a thousand at most,
- but yes, we are the largest.

- 1 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** So you sell the most electricity of any of the co-
- 2 ops in Louisiana?
- 3 **MR. TAMPORELLO:** Yes, sir, we do.
- 4 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Good. And according to what these numbers we
- 5 have, we were -- our liability was \$8,668,000 but that was reduced to 6,046,000.
- 6 That's \$2.5 million that are actually going to the ratepayers pockets; isn't that
- 7 basically true?
- 8 **MS. DOMINGUE:** That is correct. When we found out that FEMA was going to
- 9 pay 90 percent of the Hurricane Delta expenses when previously, when the disaster
- declaration was made, it was set at 75 percent, so that adjusted our numbers
- significantly, which was good news for our consumers.
- 12 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Well, that's great. We all appreciate that. My
- 13 question, I'm sure all of our Commissioners like to know, how'd you do that?
- 14 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Mr. Chairman?
- 15 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Yes, sir. Okay. All done?
- 16 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** I didn't get an answer yet.
- 17 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Yeah. I thought they were done, sorry.
- 18 **MS. DOMINGUE:** So FEMA -- actually, it was a declaration by President Biden
- that all 2020-2021 disasters that were declared across the country would be paid at
- 20 90 percent, so Stafford Act is 75 percent, but President --
- 21 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Wow.
- 22 MS. DOMINGUE: And back -- that was back in March, and so we received
- confirmation of it the day after our May B&E meeting where the original number

- 1 was approved. So therefore, we had to adjust our numbers and we have
- 2 subsequently had received even more money back now from FEMA for Hurricane
- 3 Delta since the last B&E so it has been confirmed by our FEMA personnel and our
- 4 GOHSEP personnel that the 90 percent will stand for Hurricane Delta instead of 75
- 5 percent.
- 6 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Well, that's like giving each one those meters a
- 7 \$20 bill. That's a pretty good deal. I appreciate that and I don't guess the president
- 8 knew that most of your constituents voted for Trump, they didn't know that. Okay.
- 9 Well, thank you.
- 10 **MR. PIASECKI:** We didn't take a poll.
- 11 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** Thank you. I'm through.
- 12 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** But he did it anyway. Commissioner Skrmetta.
- 13 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Yeah. You know, when we're talking about
- 14 FEMA issues and Commissioner Boissiere was with me at the SEARUC meeting
- and we were treated to the big reveal that, you know, Puerto Rico has received \$12
- billion from FEMA and is about to get another \$115 billion from FEMA before the
- 17 end of 2024 and if you can get some answers on how FEMA does that, you let me
- 18 know because little old Louisiana would like to just get, you know, a couple --
- 19 **MS. DOMINGUE:** Yes.
- 20 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** To offset our issues because we're about
- 21 almost the same size population wise, they're little bit smaller than us, not much,
- but, you know, we're seeing now a tremendous differences of allocation of capital

- 1 are taking place under FEMA and HUD and we sure would like some help, so thank
- 2 you.
- 3 **MS. DOMINGUE:** Thank you.
- 4 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Well, again, I want to thank the group from
- 5 SLEMCO as well for their hard work and thank FEMA for helping out the people
- 6 of Louisiana with that remarkable grant to restore. Once again, here we are dealing
- 7 with the storms that affect not only the people and the businesses and the residents
- 8 and the lifestyle of Louisiana, but affect the utility companies and co-ops and power
- 9 companies and everyone, but it's becoming more and more prevalent and they're
- 10 coming more and more frequently so it's part of the challenge here to try to maintain
- 11 the lowest rates as possible and seek the most amount of help. And as Chair, I
- 12 continue to fight without delegation and I don't know if that helped, but we continue
- 13 to fight from here to call the delegation and visit with them over and over again and
- ask for more and more help and -- well, in this case, it looks like FEMA has stepped
- up and done the right thing by you and by us and so I want to thank you for the hard
- work and whatever you did, keep doing it and keep helping the people of Louisiana.
- 17 Thank you so much.
- 18 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Good job.
- 19 **MS. DOMINGUE:** Thank you.
- 20 **MR. TAMPORELLO:** Thank you.
- 21 **MS. BOWMAN:** Okay. Exhibit Number 8 is Docket Number U-36338. It's
- 22 Entergy Louisiana's application for extension of a Gas Rate Stabilization Plan and
- 23 Infrastructure Rider. It's a discussion and possible vote to retain an outside

- 1 consultant. On April 22, 2022, Entergy filed a request to extend its Gas RSP for an
- 2 additional three-year terms, with all materials provisions left unchanged, as well as
- 3 extend its infrastructure investment recovery rider for an additional fifteen-year
- 4 term with all material provisions left unchanged. Staff issued an RFP seeking the
- 5 assistance of an outside consultant and one bid was received. That bid was from
- 6 United Professionals Company of \$108,000 in fees and 2,000 in expenses for a total
- 7 budget not to exceed of \$110,000. Staff makes no recommendation as the sole
- 8 bidder is qualified.
- 9 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Move to accept the bid of United
- 10 Professionals as the sole bidder.
- 11 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** Second.
- 12 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Moved by Commissioner Skrmetta, second by
- 13 Commissioner Greene to hire United Professionals. Any opposition? [NONE
- 14 HEARD] Hearing none, so ordered. Next item, please.
- 15 **MS. BOWMAN:** Exhibit Number 9 is reports, resolutions, discussions and votes
- and directives. We actually do not have any items under Exhibit 9 this month,
- 17 surprisingly, shockingly.
- 18 **SECRETARY FREY:** That might be a first.
- 19 **MS. BOWMAN:** I think it is a first.
- 20 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Things happen.
- 21 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** But it's a good thing this month.
- 22 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** I'll second that.
- 23 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** There you go.

- 1 **MS. BOWMAN:** So we'll move on --
- 2 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Doesn't require a vote?
- 3 **MS. BOWMAN:** No.
- 4 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Doesn't require a vote, okay.
- 5 **MS. BOWMAN:** No votes.
- 6 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** All right. Well, let's move on then. Next item.
- 7 **MS. BOWMAN:** Moving on to Exhibit Number 10. This is Docket Number R-
- 8 35568. It's the Commission's analysis of viability and potential development of
- 9 rate schedules for inclusion of 5G cellular telephone and broadband equipment onto
- 10 existing infrastructure of all electric distribution poles. It's a directive to Staff to
- expand the scope of Docket Number R-35568 at the request of Commissioner
- 12 Skrmetta. And during its investigation in Docket R-35568, Staff discovered several
- interrelated pole attachment issues. These issues involve the related use of the
- NINJA system, strand over lashing impacts, pole wind loading concerns, issues
- 15 related to unauthorized attachments and a collective impact of these issues on
- 16 ratepayers. Further, the upcoming significant investment in fiber deployment for
- 17 broadband and the attachment infrastructure related to this employment has the
- 18 potential to exacerbate these issues. Therefore, Commissioner Skrmetta directs
- 19 Staff to expand the scope of Docket R-35568 to include investigating and proposing
- 20 solutions to these issues including potential modifications to the Commission's
- 21 General Order dated September 4, 2014 regarding pole attachments.
- 22 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Any opposition, gentlemen? [NONE HEARD]
- None, move on.

COMMISSIONER FRANCIS: No.

1

- 2 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Good job. Next.
- 3 **MS. BOWMAN:** Exhibit Number 11 is a directive to Staff on scheduling future
- 4 business and executive sessions. This is also at the request of Commissioner
- 5 Skrmetta. Traditionally, the Commission selects one month each year to not hold
- 6 a Business and Executive session with that month historically being August. There
- 7 were a few years during Commissioner Holloway's tenure that we met all 12
- 8 months. Staff had planned to propose the changing of this off month from August
- 9 to July when we issue the draft proposed schedule for 2023 later this year. After
- 10 conducting research regarding the rationale behind no August meeting, it was that
- it was a good time to schedule vacations as well as agendas were usually lighter
- 12 and as well as work around NARUC summer meetings policy summit, which were
- also typically held in late July, rather than the mid-July recent trend. And as those
- with children and grandchildren are aware, schools used to start much later in
- 15 August than they do now. In Staff's opinion, all of these factors would support
- having July rather than August as a logical month in which to have no meeting.
- 17 And in conversations with Commissioner Skrmetta, he agreed with the move to
- 18 July as the off month. This directive is simply to give the word out that the Staff
- will be proposing a schedule for 2022 that would make the change from August to
- July as the month the Commission does not hold a B&E.
- 21 **SECRETARY FREY:** 2023.
- 22 MS. BOWMAN: 2020 -- did I say -- sorry, 2023. So Commissioner Skrmetta's
- 23 directive is as follows: When scheduling future Business and Executive Sessions

- starting with the 2023 schedule, I direct Staff to propose no meeting in July instead
- 2 of August. Pretty straight forward.
- 3 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Wait a minute, let me, hold on. Read that last line
- 4 again.
- 5 MS. BOWMAN: When scheduling future Business and Executive Sessions
- 6 starting with the 2023 schedule, I direct Staff to propose no meeting in July instead
- 7 of August.
- 8 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Yeah, the reason why, Mr. Chairman, is --
- 9 what the Staff stated is in the past, most students were going back at the end of
- 10 August or September. They're going back earlier in August and so for the Staff's
- benefit, they were asking about this and so I said, instead of us waiting until January
- 12 to bring this up as a topic when a lot of people may have pre-planned things that far
- out in advance for August to do things, that don't have families or et cetera, that we
- should just get our look on this, ahead of the curve and it does make sense for us to
- move the off month of the year to July and then we'll have regular meetings in
- 16 August and the off month for the calendar would be July.
- 17 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Okay.
- 18 **MS. BOWMAN:** And it also kind of divides the year up more January to June and
- 19 then August to December.
- 20 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** And that gives us a split in the year.
- 21 **MS. BOWMAN:** It's really a split of the year.
- 22 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Sure. I understand those -- all those reasons on the
- 23 surface. My only question is very, I guess maybe semantics, that I think Staff

- should examine it. I know there's been some examination and some -- and it makes
- 2 perfect sense but I would like us to make sure that that's the right answer and that
- 3 we look at it instead of determining it at this moment, that we look at it when we
- 4 create the next schedule with the intent of -- I don't want it to be pre-determined.
- 5 **SECRETARY FREY:** Right.
- 6 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** At this moment.
- 7 **SECRETARY FREY:** And that's what we're going to do. We're going to look
- 8 at what's -- when LaTonya and I work on the schedule, we start, what, usually in
- 9 November, I guess, October and November and give y'all a draft. We're looking
- at next year where holidays are falling, where SEARUC's falling, where the
- summer, winter, and annual policy meetings are falling and then also, elections and
- other things and we're working around those, so all those will be considered.
- 13 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Right.
- 14 **SECRETARY FREY:** And what makes most sense, we'll give you dates based
- on that.
- 16 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Sure. Absolutely. And again, I have no problem
- with the decision to either go August or July. I just want to make sure the language
- is proper and I think you gave proper context throughout the body of the directive.
- 19 I just wanted to make sure it wasn't a foregone conclusion that we were at least
- 20 giving examination and hear comments. I mean, we want people to give their own
- 21 opinions as we move forward before we create a schedule. That's all.
- 22 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** And we have to vote on the schedule --
- 23 **SECRETARY FREY:** Yeah, you'll vote on that.

- 1 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** In January.
- 2 **SECRETARY FREY:** Yeah, you'll vote on that in December.
- 3 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Or December meeting.
- 4 **SECRETARY FREY:** Or January, yeah, we'll send it out to y'all in November.
- 5 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Absolutely.
- 6 **SECRETARY FREY:** For comments before we actually propose it.
- 7 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** And that's all I mean. Like I think it will be ample
- 8 time to get feedback and I just didn't want the directive to seem so foregone on a
- 9 conclusion in advance.
- 10 **MS. BOWMAN:** Yeah.
- 11 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** All right. Well, the important thing is that --
- 12 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Semantics.
- 13 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** -- the word is now out that -- and if people --
- 14 you see, the big thing is is that -- and I know a lot of people in the audience and a
- lot of people who are listening, it becomes more and more necessary to plan if
- 16 you're going to plan vacation stuff to plan it this far out, you know. If you got to
- 17 plan a year in advance, especially if you're going to take advantage of like, hot
- hotel points and stuff like that, you got to plan stuff out almost a year in advance so
- 19 -- and when the Staff was already making plans for bringing up the issue of moving
- 20 it to July, I think it's a good idea for us to all get on, you know, knowledge that
- 21 that's kind of the move. So that was why. Thank you.

- 1 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** I'd like to thank Commissioner Skrmetta for his
- 2 finally seeing the light because I remember when I was fighting for a month off, I
- 3 couldn't get it but we got it now so that's good. All those reasons --
- 4 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** We were fighting one person.
- 5 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** I needed three. I needed three and --
- 6 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** You can ask the audience.
- 7 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** I know. Look, I'm just having fun with it right now.
- 8 But before we end the meeting, was that the last --
- 9 **SECRETARY FREY:** That is the last item.
- 10 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Okay. Commissioner Greene has a comment to
- 11 make.
- 12 **COMMISSIONER GREENE:** I just have one comment that -- upon further
- reflection, I wanted to comment earlier on the assertion. I just see it differently that
- monopolies are the American way. I think we're a long way from the empirical
- analysis that would support that conclusion and in fact, I think we have an empirical
- analysis that our entire economy is actually based on the opposite of the idea that a
- monopoly is the American way. So while I'm grateful for what they provide, I
- think we should be open to robust analysis to see what the best way forward looks
- 19 like. Thank you.
- 20 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** And to keep in order of requests, Commissioner --
- 21 well, first of all, thank you Commissioner Greene. Keep in order of requests,
- 22 Commissioner Francis.

- 1 **COMMISSIONER FRANCIS:** I've got a brief MISO report for you.
- 2 Compliments to them, our air conditioner and lights are on today. And as of right
- 3 now, MISO is managing electricity, 100,000 megawatts in the MISO footprint,
- 4 which we're basically in. And the fuel that's used to generate that electricity, just
- 5 for your information, 41 percent coal, 37 percent natural gas, 4 percent wind, 2
- 6 percent solar. So for all you buffs who want to put the coal and natural gas people
- out of business, get ready for no air conditioning and very little light power, okay.
- 8 But we're going to work hard to try to help the wind and solar and get a little
- 9 greener, but I sure don't want to discourage our coal and natural gas people. So
- thanks to MISO for a great RTO system. You're doing a good job.
- 11 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Thank you, Commissioner Francis. Next,
- 12 Commissioner Skrmetta.
- 13 **COMMISSIONER SKRMETTA:** Yeah. You know, I've heard what
- 14 Commissioner Greene has said about monopolies not being the American way, and
- technically, he's correct. The problem is is that he's looking at pure monopolies
- versus the regulatory compact. And the regulatory compact is not a pure monopoly.
- 17 It's a give and take monopoly, you know, these utilities are given territories but the
- 18 expansion of that territory is they also agree to give up two things. They are
- 19 required to bring service to anybody in that territory. They can't say no, right. And
- second thing is they are not like any other monopoly that is unregulated. They give
- 21 up their rights to be unregulated or regulated by the agency, in this case, the Public
- 22 Service Commission. So that regulatory compact has well served the United States
- for over 100 years and what it has caused to do is take the value of government

- 1 owned utilities that were located inside of cities and bring it outside of the cities, to 2 the suburbs to the industrial corridors, to the rural communities and it has changed 3 the dynamics and the economies of this country, but you cannot equate a pure 4 monopoly to a regulatory compact and that's just my comment. Thank you. And 5 move to adjourn. 6 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Well, there's something before that but thank you. 7 Did you -- are we ready. Okay, Commissioner Francis. My last comment on it is 8 thank you, Commissioner Skrmetta. I appreciate that addition. The -- I just wanted 9 to that -- once again, keep Foster Campbell in your prayers. As you see how fast 10 this meeting went through, but he'll be back, he'll be back. And keep him in your 11 prayers. We'll keep people updated. I'm going to call him myself after the meeting 12 to make sure everything's going well with him. And be careful with the heat out 13 there. I sincerely mean it. I want to make a reminder, no disconnects during the 14 extreme weather as determined by the National Weather Service and continue to 15 work hard for Louisiana. Be safe and good travels. Motion by Commissioner 16 Skrmetta, second by Commissioner Francis to adjourn. Any opposition? [NONE 17 HEARD] Oh, last thing, next meeting is where and when? **MS. BOWMAN:** Here, July 27th. 18 19 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Great.
- 21 **CHAIRMAN BOISSIERE:** Next meeting -- we're adjourned.

SECRETARY FREY: Glad she knew that.

20

22

24

23 (WHEREUPON THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED)

LPSC B&E Open Session June 22, 2022 Baton Rouge, LA

1	I certify that the forgoing pages 1 through 46 are true and correct to the best	
2	of my knowledge of the Open Session of the Business and Executive Meeting	
3	held on June 22, 2022 in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.	
4	*******************	
5	Rough Draft prepared by:	
6	Jane Welgion	Ce 23-22
7	Jaime Melancon,	Date
8		
9	Keyler From	6-23-22
10	Kayla Fiorenza,	Date
11	20-10-1-100	1 00
12	Fallente Regers	6-23-22
13	Katherine Dykes,	Date
14		
15	*************	*********
16	Proofed by:	
	1/ 1/	
17	Mayle Florenge	6-23-22
18	Kayla Fiorenza,	Date
19		
20	Finalized by:	
20	•	
21	Sanglelaria	4-27-22
22	Jaime Melancon,	Date
23		